

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,125		06/29/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2330	7248	
31780	7590	06/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROE	BINSON		KEBEDE,	KEBEDE, BROOK		
PMB 955 21010 SOU	THBANK	ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165				2823		
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/200	DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
		09/894,125	YAMAZAKI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	T				
		Brook Kebede	2823	pro				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this c mmunicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
		action is non-final.						
3)[3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-17,19-30 and 47-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17,19-30 and 47-58 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/352,198. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	rt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04; 6/29/01.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Art Unit: 2823

1 1

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1 and 7 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Morosawa (JP/07038113). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 47, 48, and 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morosawa (JP/07038113).

Re claim 47, 53, 55, and 57, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon (2 3) over a substrate (see Drawing 1, Examples and Abstract); irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light in an atmosphere containing oxygen for crystallizing said semiconductor film (see Examples Paragraph 0009); removing a natural oxidation film (8) formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching (i.e., dipping in 1% HF solution) after the first irradiation of the laser light and before the second radiation anneal treatment; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in the atmosphere containing inert gas (i.e., nitrogen gas) or in reducing atmosphere (i.e., in hydrogen) after removing the natural oxidation film (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Art Unit: 2823

Page 3

Re claim 48, 54, 56, and 58, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light in an atmosphere containing oxygen for crystallizing the semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid to remove a natural oxidation film formed on the surface of the semiconductor film after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in inert gate (i.e., nitrogen gas) or in reducing atmosphere (i.e., in hydrogen) after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid i.e., dipping in 1% HF solution) before the second radiation anneal treatment (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2823

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 19, 20, 23-30, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa (JP/07038113).

Re claim 19, 25, 27 and 29, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light in an atmosphere containing oxygen for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching with buffered HF (i.e., 1% HF) after the first irradiation of the laser light and before the second laser light irradiation; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in an atmosphere containing in inert gas or oxygen or the combination of after removing the oxide film, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

However, Morosawa is silent about the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Art Unit: 2823

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPO2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claims 20, 26, 28, and 30, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light in an atmosphere containing oxygen for crystallizing said semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a buffered hydrofluoric acid (i.e., 1% HF) after the first irradiation of the laser light before the second irradiation of light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid in an atmosphere, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of

Art Unit: 2823

oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

However, Morosawa is silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another

Art Unit: 2823

variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 23, as applied to claim 19 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including leveling (i.e., annealing) the semiconductor film at temperature between 500 and 600 °C (i.e., outside the claimed temperature range of 900 and 1200 °C) (see the English translation Examples in Paragraph 0010).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range by using routine experimentation in order to achieve the desired device performance.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 24, as applied to claim 20 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including leveling (i.e., annealing) the semiconductor film at temperature between 500 and 600 °C (i.e., outside the claimed temperature range of 900 and 1200 °C) (see the English translation Examples in Paragraph 0010).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range by using routine experimentation in order to achieve the desired device performance.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 51, as applied to claim 47 in Paragraph 3 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including leveling (i.e., annealing) the semiconductor film at temperature between 500 and 600 °C (i.e., outside the claimed temperature range of 900 and 1200 °C) (see the English translation Examples in Paragraph 0010).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range by using routine experimentation in order to achieve the desired device performance.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 52, as applied to claim 47 in Paragraph 3 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including leveling (i.e., annealing) the semiconductor film at

Art Unit: 2823

temperature between 500 and 600 °C (i.e., outside the claimed temperature range of 900 and 1200 °C) (see the English translation Examples in Paragraph 0010).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range by using routine experimentation in order to achieve the desired device performance.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

6. Claims 21, 22, 49, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa (JP/07038113) in view of Yamazaki et al. (US/5,608,232).

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 21, as applied to claim 19 in Paragraph 5 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including annealing of the substrate during the leveling step.

However, Morosawa does not specifically disclose furnace annealing.

Yamazaki et al. disclose furnace annealing of the substrate in nitrogen atmosphere in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer (see Yamazaki et al. Col. 24, lines 10-30).

Both Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. teachings are directed to fabricating of TFTs the process includes depositing of semiconductor thin film and annealing the semiconductor thin film the crystallize the thin film. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with furnace annealing during leveling process of the semiconductor layer as taught by Yamazaki et al. in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer.

Re claim 22, as applied to claim 20 in Paragraph 5 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including annealing of the substrate during the leveling step.

However, Morosawa does not specifically disclose furnace annealing.

Yamazaki et al. disclose furnace annealing of the substrate in nitrogen atmosphere in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer (see Yamazaki et al. Col. 24, lines 10-30).

Both Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. teachings are directed to fabricating of TFTs the process includes depositing of semiconductor thin film and annealing the semiconductor thin film the crystallize the thin film. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. are analogous.

Art Unit: 2823

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with furnace annealing during leveling process of the semiconductor layer as taught by Yamazaki et al. in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer.

Re claim 49, as applied to claim 47 in Paragraph 3 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including annealing of the substrate during the leveling step.

However, Morosawa does not specifically disclose furnace annealing.

Yamazaki et al. disclose furnace annealing of the substrate in nitrogen atmosphere in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer (see Yamazaki et al. Col. 24, lines 10-30).

Both Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. teachings are directed to fabricating of TFTs the process includes depositing of semiconductor thin film and annealing the semiconductor thin film the crystallize the thin film. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with furnace annealing during leveling process of the semiconductor layer as taught by Yamazaki et al. in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer.

Re claim 50, as applied to claim 48 in Paragraph 3 above, Morosawa discloses all the claimed limitations including annealing of the substrate during the leveling step.

However, Morosawa does not specifically disclose furnace annealing.

Yamazaki et al. disclose furnace annealing of the substrate in nitrogen atmosphere in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer (see Yamazaki et al. Col. 24, lines 10-30).

Art Unit: 2823

Both Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. teachings are directed to fabricating of TFTs the process includes depositing of semiconductor thin film and annealing the semiconductor thin film the crystallize the thin film. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Yamazaki et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with furnace annealing during leveling process of the semiconductor layer as taught by Yamazaki et al. in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer.

7. Claims 1-12, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa (JP/07038113) in view of Kudo et al. (JP/09186336).

Re claim 1, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon (2 or 3) (see Drawing 1 and Examples and Abstract) over a substrate (1); irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film (see Examples Paragraph 0009); removing a natural oxidation film (8) (see Drawing 7 and 8; Examples, Paragraph 0010) formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light (i.e., by dipping in HF); and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after removing the natural oxidation film (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Art Unit: 2823

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claims 2, 16, and 17, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching (i.e., treating) the surface with buffered HF (i.e., 1% HF) after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in a reducing atmosphere containing hydrogen after removing the oxide film (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Art Unit: 2823

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claims 3 and 15, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in an inert gas (i.e., nitrogen) after removing

Art Unit: 2823

said oxide film (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claim 4, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the

Art Unit: 2823

semiconductor film by heating in an atmosphere after removing the oxide film, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen

Art Unit: 2823

concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPO2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 5, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a

Art Unit: 2823

substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light in air for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in a reducing atmosphere after removing said oxide film, a concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound contained in said reducing atmosphere, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by

Art Unit: 2823

Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where

Art Unit: 2823

patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 6, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film formed on a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in an inert gas after removing said oxide film, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Art Unit: 2823

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d

Art Unit: 2823

1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 7, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid to remove a natural oxidation film formed on the surface of the semiconductor film after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Art Unit: 2823

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claim 8, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid in a reducing atmosphere (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Art Unit: 2823

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claim 9, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid in an inert gas (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00016).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Art Unit: 2823

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claim 10, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with said hydrofluoric acid in an atmosphere, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere

Art Unit: 2823

containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a

Art Unit: 2823

claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105
USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843
(Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 11, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with said hydrofluoric acid in a reducing atmosphere, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Art Unit: 2823

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Art Unit: 2823

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPO 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPO2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPO2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 12, Morosawa discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating the semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a hydrofluoric acid after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with the hydrofluoric acid in an inert gas, in an atmosphere containing predetermined concentration of oxygen or an oxygen compound (see the English translation Example in Paragraph 0007 through Paragraph 00011).

Art Unit: 2823

Although Morosawa discloses irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing the semiconductor film, Morosawa is silent about irradiating the semiconductor film with leaser light in air and the concentration of oxygen or oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Both Morosawa and Kudo et al. teachings directed to irradiating amorphous thin film layer using laser light to crystallize the thin film after the thin film deposited for fabrication of TFTs. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa and Kudo et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. are silent about the concentration of oxygen of oxygen compound being 10 ppm or less during the leveling step. Although the concentration is not specifically disclosed by Morosawa and Kudo et al., such oxygen concentration rage can be set within the level ordinary skill in the art by routine optimization to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Art Unit: 2823

One of ordinary skill in would have motivated to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to set the oxygen concentration at 10 ppm or less by routine optimization in order to passivate the damaged surface of the semiconductor layer during removal of natural (native) oxide, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPO 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPO2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentration range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Re claim 14, as applied to claims 1-12 above, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. in combination discloses all the claimed limitations including leveling (i.e., annealing) the semiconductor film at temperature between 500 and 600 °C (i.e., outside the claimed temperature range of 900 and 1200 °C) (see the English translation Examples in Paragraph 0010).

Art Unit: 2823

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range by using routine experimentation in order to achieve the desired device performance.

Therefore, it would have been to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention is made to optimize the claimed annealing temperature range, since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); *In re Hoeschele*, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); *Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.*, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919, f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa (JP/07038113) in view of Kudo et al. (JP/09186336), as applied in claims 1-12 and 15-17 in Paragraph 7 above, and further in view of Yamazaki et al. (US/5,608,232).

Application/Control Number: 09/894,125 Page 34

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 13, as applied to claims 1-12 in Paragraph 7 above, Morosawa and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including annealing of the substrate during the leveling step.

However, both Morosawa and Kudo et al. do not specifically disclose furnace annealing.

Yamazaki et al. disclose furnace annealing of the substrate in nitrogen atmosphere in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer (see Yamazaki et al. Col. 24, lines 10-30).

Morosawa, Kudo et al., and Yamazaki et al. teachings are directed to fabricating of TFTs the process includes depositing of semiconductor thin film and annealing the semiconductor thin film the crystallize the thin film. Therefore, the teachings of Morosawa, Kudo et al., and Yamazaki et al. are analogous.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to provide Morosawa and Kudo et al., reference with furnace annealing during leveling process of the semiconductor layer as taught by Yamazaki et al. in order to crystallize the semiconductor layer.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 19-30, and 47-58 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

Art Unit: 2823

Correspondence

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BK

June 19, 2004